February 13, 1975

practice of the Chair, because $\ensuremath{\mathrm{I}}$ take no interest in the legislation.

Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I'd just conclude by saying I think it is now a good amendment. It accomplishs what Senator Stoney originally intended it to accomplish. I support the amendment and think that we should advance the bill.

PRESIDENT: Are you ready for the question? The question is the Stoney amendment to LB 171. Record your vote. Record Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays, 17 not voting.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is adopted. Senator Stoney.

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would move that LB 171, with the amendment as adopted, be moved to E & R initial.

PRESIDENT: Is there any discussion? The question is, shall LB 171 be advanced to E & R initial, as amended. Record your vote. Record Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 32 ayes, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The bill is so advanced. LB 312.

CLERK: Read bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the committee amendment stikes section 1 and renumbers the rest of the section. As I recall the introducer of the bill said that the first section was inadvertantly included and it should not have been. That was his recommendation, the committee approved it. I move the adoption of the amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Is there any discussion? The question is the adoption of the amendment to LB 312. Record your vote. Record the vote.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on motion to adopt.

PRESIDENT: The amendments are adopted. Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, LB 312, as explained by the chairman of the Revenue Committee, there was a drafting error that included the Homestead Exemption provision. It was not intended or is it appropriately a part of this bill. I have no objection to what that provision provided for, however, it was not the intent of that bill. The intent of this bill was to provide in cases of appeal to the Board of Equalization from assessment of the County Assessor when the appelant was relying upon income as the basis of his contest to the assessment of his real property, that he provide the Board of Equalization with a certified copy executed under oath, demonstrating the income he alleges. This bill is offered on behalf of Douglas County in that a number of large requests for reduction rest on the basis of income of the property and it is often